



## MSIAM2 internship evaluation form (supervisor)

Student Name:

Supervisor Name:

Supervisor email:

Organization name, city and country:

Internship subject title:

Dates of internship:

We ask the MSc thesis advisor to provide a written evaluation of the following items. For each item, a scoring range [0 max] is provided. Please see the detailed signification of possible scores on the back side of the page.

1/ Diligence, efficiency, maturity, independence, self-governance [0 5]

2/ Scientific/technical contributions, results [0 5]

3/ Involvement, assiduity, steadiness [0 3]

4/ Originality, creativity, competence in applied math [0 5]

5/ Teamwork aptitude, communication ability [0 3]

6/ Working method, structuration of work [0 4]

The global score is between 0 and 25.

Grade	0	1	2	3	4	5
1/ Autonomy, maturity						
2/ Scientific approach, results						
3/ Involvement, diligence, seriousness						
4/ Originality, creativity, skills in applied math						
5/ Teamwork ability						
6/ Working method and organization						

Major strengths:

To improve:

Other Comments

How would you rate the intern

Excellent

Good

Average

Date, Name, signature:

Please send this evaluation form to <mailto:msiam2@ensimag.fr> the week before the oral defence.

item	Grade
Autonomy, maturity [0 to 5]	<p>5: Autonomous, efficient, mature, proactive, independent. Could almost work without a supervisor.</p> <p>4: Autonomous and mature student. Work of the supervisor is mainly light accompanying.</p> <p>3: Better autonomy acquired throughout internship.</p> <p>2: Lack of autonomy. A weekly meeting remains necessary, as well as (more or less intense) supervisions.</p> <p>1: Needs to be put back on rails weekly or more frequently.</p> <p>0: Takes no initiative.</p>
Scientific approach, results [0 to 5]	<p>5: Scientific approach was extremely well carried out, new results on a difficult problem deserving to be published. If applicable: production of directly reusable software (add as a comment).</p> <p>4: Original production or use of pre-existing complex concepts. Solved some non-trivial problem. Scientific approach was very well carried out, from theory to result validation. If applicable: production of directly reusable software (add as a comment).</p> <p>3: Sound scientific approach. Obtained results of reasonable quality, using pre-existing concepts of moderate complexity. If applicable: production of software (add as a comment).</p> <p>2: Obtained some results, using pre-existing concepts of rather low complexity (add as a comment).</p> <p>1: Obtained some results at the cost of huge implication of supervisor.</p> <p>0: No scientific production.</p>
Involvement, diligence, seriousness [0 to 3]	<p>3: Highly involved in their work. Respect of the constraints (working time, meetings, etc.), seriousness.</p> <p>2: Did whatever asked of them.</p> <p>1: Correct involvement, after some necessary clarification or warning.</p> <p>0: Dabbler, no real involvement.</p>
Originality, creativity, skills in applied math [0 to 5]	<p>5: Excellent in applied mathematics, with good intuition. Innovative, proposed original ideas. Internship was an enriching experience for the supervisor.</p> <p>4: Very good or excellent in applied math, but with rather low creativity, or excellent creativity but not exactly brilliant in applied math.</p> <p>3: Good in applied math. Some original proposals.</p> <p>2: Few ideas, passable level. Understand required concepts after long explanations from supervisor.</p> <p>1: Low level, no creativity. Poor understanding of required concepts, even after recurrent explanations.</p> <p>0: M2 level not reached.</p>
Teamwork ability [0 to 3]	<p>3: Skilled with team working. Easily talks about their work and knows how to gather people on it.</p> <p>2: Does not mind working in team.</p> <p>1: Teamwork and communication are not natural. Difficulties to explain their approach or results to the supervisor.</p> <p>0: Lonely worker. Hard to know what was done during the week.</p>
Working method and organization [0 to 4]	<p>4: Pretty good at organizing work. Good time management. Respect of constraints (reports, deadlines). Able to carry several tasks in parallel. Advice and information do not need to be repeated.</p> <p>3: Good organization.</p> <p>2: Globally knows how to get organized and manage time. Some points need improvement though.</p> <p>1: Needs external help to get organized, manage time and fulfill constraints.</p> <p>0: Cannot get organized.</p>